YourLawArticle

Open Access Law Journal, ISSN (O): 3049-0057

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Amit Kashyap; Publisher – Reet Parihar

An Analytical Study of Intellectual Property Rights with Special Reference to Patent, Copyright, and Trademark

Khushhali Bashisht, L.L.M, Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj University, Panvel, Navi Mumbai
&
Dr. S.P. Mishra, Head Of Department (Law), Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj University, Panvel, Navi Mumbai.

Published on: 1st June 2025

Abstract

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) serve as a cornerstone in the legal architecture that incentivises innovation and creativity while ensuring fair economic returns to creators and inventors. This paper conducts an analytical review of IPRs in India, primarily focusing on patents, copyrights, and trademarks. The study outlines the statutory framework, procedural nuances, judicial interpretations, and international obligations that influence Indian IPR regimes. It also critiques existing challenges and reforms necessary to enhance India's position in global intellectual property governance.

Keywords*: Intellectual Property Rights, Patents, Copyright, Trademarks, Legal Framework*

Introduction

Intellectual Property Rights encompass exclusive legal entitlements that are granted to individuals or organizations over their intellectual creations. These rights are critical in fostering a climate conducive to innovation and technological advancement. India, as a developing economy, has significantly restructured its IPR framework over the past few decades to harmonize with international standards, especially following its accession to the WTO and TRIPS Agreement. [1]This paper aims to dissect the three major pillars of Indian IPR law—patents, copyright, and trademarks—through legislative analysis, case laws, and comparative frameworks.

The Meaning and Concept of Intellectual Property Rights

"Intellectual Property" refers to intangible assets created by the human intellect, such as inventions, literary works, designs, and symbols. When these creations receive legal protection, the creator is endowed with rights to use, license, sell, or modify the intellectual asset.[2] As defined under various Indian statutes, these rights empower individuals to commercially exploit their innovations while safeguarding them from unauthorised usage.[3]

Importance and Objectives of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)

Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) serve as essential instruments for fostering innovation, creativity, and economic development by ensuring legal recognition and protection to the creators of intellectual works. One of the cardinal importance of IPR lies in its ability to **secure the moral and economic rights** of the creators. It encourages a thriving culture of innovation by offering creators exclusive rights over the commercial exploitation of their works, thereby providing a tangible incentive to invest time and resources in developing new ideas.

Case law such as *Eastern Book Company v D.B. Modak*[4]demonstrates the judiciary's efforts to balance originality with protection, especially in compilations and databases. In this case, the court held that copyright protection extends only to original contributions and not to mere effort or labor—affirming the principle that protection must be earned through creativity, not just diligence.

---

[1] *World Trade Organization*, 'Overview: the TRIPS Agreement' https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/trips_e/intel2_e.htm accessed 30 May 2025.
[2] Anirudh Burman, 'The Abolition of India's IPAB: Institutional Reform or Backward Step?' (2021) *Carnegie India* https://carnegieindia.org accessed 30 May 2025.
[3] Shalini Seetharam, 'AI and Copyright Law in India: The Need for Legislative Clarity' (2023) 15(2) *NUJS Law Review* 122.
[4] *Eastern Book Company v D.B. Modak* (2008) 1 SCC 1

Moreover, IPR plays a crucial role in **attracting foreign direct investment (FDI)**. Legal predictability in IPR enforcement has been a decisive factor in influencing companies' decisions to invest in India. This was evident in *Natco Pharma Ltd. v Bayer Corporation* [5] , India's first compulsory license granted to Natco for producing a generic version of Bayer's cancer drug 'Nexavar' was upheld by the Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB). While the case raised concerns internationally, it also illustrated India's balancing act between public interest and patent holder rights under TRIPS flexibilities.

For **consumers**, IPR ensures **quality assurance and authenticity**. Trademark protection, for instance, enables consumers to distinguish genuine products from counterfeit ones. In *Cadila Healthcare Ltd. v Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd.* [6] , the Supreme Court emphasised the need to prevent deceptive similarity in marks used for medicinal products, thereby safeguarding public health.

Furthermore, IPR facilitates **economic returns to creators**, promoting reinvestment into research and development. In *R.G. Anand v. Delux Films*[7], the court acknowledged that artistic works form the basis for creative industries and require protection not just for originality but also to sustain livelihoods.

Ultimately, the **objectives of IPR** include granting **recognition and protection**, ensuring **economic benefits**, and **fostering public welfare**. The Indian judiciary continues to maintain this balance, ensuring IPR laws serve both private interest and societal good.

Historical Development of IPR in India

The evolution of IPR in India reflects its **gradual alignment with global standards**, particularly post-TRIPS. The cornerstone of this transformation was the **Patents Act, 1970**, which replaced the archaic Indian Patents and Designs Act, 1911. The Patents (Amendment) Acts of 1999, 2002, and particularly **2005**, brought Indian law into conformity with TRIPS, allowing for **product patents in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals**. The 2005 amendment also introduced **pre- and post-grant opposition**, which significantly increased transparency.

[5] *Natco Pharma Ltd. v Bayer Corporation* (2012) IPAB 1
[6] *Cadila Healthcare Ltd. v Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd.* (2001) 5 SCC 73.
[7] *R.G. Anand v. Delux Films* (1978) 4 SCC 118

The case ***Novartis AG v Union of India***[8], discussed earlier, cemented Section 3(d) of the Patents Act, ensuring that only truly innovative drugs—demonstrating enhanced efficacy—are granted patents, thereby preventing "evergreening."

Copyright law dates back to the **Copyright Act, 1957**, which was modeled on the UK Act of 1911 but has been **frequently amended**—most notably in 1994 and 2012. These amendments broadened the scope to include digital works and performers' rights. In *Indian Performing Rights Society v Eastern India Motion Pictures Association* [9] , the court acknowledged the conflict between producers and music composers, laying down key precedents about who owns rights in musical recordings.

Trademarks were originally governed by the **Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958**, which was replaced by the **Trade Marks Act, 1999**, to adhere to TRIPS. This law expanded the definition of a "mark" to include **color combinations, shapes, and even sounds**. In *Yahoo Inc. v Akash Arora*[10], the Delhi High Court granted interim relief to Yahoo against the use of a deceptively similar domain name, establishing early jurisprudence on **cybersquatting**.[11]

In the realm of **designs**, the **Designs Act, 2000** replaced the old 1911 law to comply with international norms. The legislation defines and protects the visual design of objects not purely utilitarian.

Additionally, India introduced specific IPR legislations such as:

- **Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999**, promoting local artisans.
- **Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2001**, ensuring both breeder and farmer rights.
- **Biological Diversity Act, 2002**, safeguarding India's rich bio-resources and indigenous knowledge.

India and International IPR Agreements

[8] *Novartis AG v Union of India* (2013) 6 SCC 1
[9] *Indian Performing Rights Society v Eastern India Motion Pictures Association* (1977) 2 SCC 820.
[10] *Yahoo Inc. v Akash Arora* 1999 PTC 201 (Del)
[11] Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, 20 March 1883, as revised at Stockholm on 14 July 1967.

India's IPR laws are shaped significantly by its **international obligations**. The **TRIPS Agreement (1994)** under the WTO is the most consequential, setting minimum standards for patentability, copyright duration, and enforcement mechanisms.

India is also a signatory to:

- The **Berne Convention (1886)** – ensuring protection of literary and artistic works without the need for formal registration.
- The **Paris Convention (1883)** – establishing rights for industrial property like patents and trademarks.
- Multiple **WIPO-administered treaties**, which facilitate the international registration of IPs (e.g., the Patent Cooperation Treaty).

In *Bajaj Auto Ltd. v TVS Motor Company*[12] ,the Madras High Court applied TRIPS-consistent reasoning while dealing with disputes involving industrial design overlaps and patent rights, indicating the judiciary's responsiveness to international norms.

Patent Law in India: Detailed Overview

Under the **Patents Act, 1970**, a **patent** is defined as a **monopoly right** granted to inventors for a fixed period (20 years), provided the invention is **novel, involves an inventive step, and is capable of industrial application** (Section 2(1)(j)).[13]

The procedural framework is robust and involves:

1. **Filing** with complete or provisional specification.
2. **Publication** after 18 months (Section 11A).
3. **Examination** upon request (Rule 24B).
4. **Pre-grant and post-grant opposition** (Sections 25(1) and 25(2)).
5. **Grant of Patent** and its publication (Section 43).

The patent confers **exclusive rights** (Section 48) to prevent unauthorized use, but it is **not absolute**. Section 84 allows **compulsory licensing** when the patented product is unaffordable or unavailable, as exemplified in *Natco v Bayer*.

[12] *Bajaj Auto Ltd. v TVS Motor Company* 2009 (41) PTC 398 (Mad
[13] The Patents Act 1970 (India).

The **Novartis v Union of India** case reinforced that **incremental innovation** without significant improvement cannot be patented, thereby protecting generic access to essential drugs—a vital concern in a developing country.

Copyright Law

The **Copyright Act, 1957**[14], protects a wide variety of **original works**, including literary, artistic, musical, and cinematographic pieces. Section 13 outlines protected categories.

Duration differs:

- **Authorial works**: Lifetime + 60 years (Section 22).
- **Sound recordings/films**: 60 years from publication.

In *Amarnath Sehgal v Union of India*[15] ,the Delhi High Court upheld the **moral rights** of artists, stating that even government agencies cannot mutilate an artist's work.

Trademark Law

Under **Section 2(zb)** of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, a **trademark** includes names, symbols, and even non-traditional marks like **sound and smell** if they distinguish goods/services.[16]

Notable cases include:

- *Tata Sons v Manu Kosuri* [17] – domain name protection.
- *Daimler Benz v Hybo Hindustan*[18]– protecting global trademarks against dilution in India.

Trademark infringement attracts both **civil remedies** (injunction, damages) and **criminal liability** (Sections 102-105).

Challenges and Reforms in IPR Enforcement

Despite a modern legal framework, India's IPR system faces practical limitations:

[14] The Copyright Act 1957 (India).
[15] *Amarnath Sehgal v Union of India* 2005 (30) PTC 253 (Del),
[16] The Trade Marks Act 1999 (India).
[17] *Tata Sons v Manu Kosuri* 2001 PTC 432 (Del)
[18] *Daimler Benz v Hybo Hindustan* AIR 1994 Del 239

- **Infrastructural Deficits**: Patent and trademark offices are under-resourced.
- **Piracy and Counterfeiting**: Rampant in sectors like pharmaceuticals and cinema, causing economic losses.
- **Awareness Gaps**: Many creators, especially in rural and informal sectors, are unaware of IP registration benefits.

Reforms such as the **National IPR Policy (2016)** and **digitisation of IP processes** (e.g., IP India's e-filing system) are steps in the right direction. The adoption of **Artificial Intelligence** in patent examination, as being piloted in some jurisdictions, can drastically reduce pendency.[19]

Conclusion :

India's Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) regime has experienced significant transformation in recent decades, primarily driven by the necessity to align with global legal frameworks such as the TRIPS Agreement under the WTO. These changes have not only modernized India's IPR laws but also established a robust legal infrastructure to protect the rights of inventors, creators, and businesses. The enactment of laws such as the Patents (Amendment) Acts, the Copyright (Amendment) Act, 2012, and the Trademarks Act, 1999, reflects India's commitment to strengthening its IP regime in conformity with international standards. These legal reforms have made it easier for individuals and entities to secure their innovations, stimulate economic growth, and enhance India's credibility in global trade relations.[20]

However, despite these statutory and structural developments, the practical effectiveness of the IPR framework in India remains contingent upon three critical factors: **public awareness**, **efficient enforcement**, and **continuous legal evolution**. A significant portion of India's population, especially in rural and semi-urban areas, still lacks basic understanding of IP rights and their potential benefits. Without awareness, many creators remain unprotected and vulnerable to exploitation or infringement.[21]

Enforcement is another pressing concern. The effectiveness of any legal regime lies not just in the existence of law, but in its implementation. Indian courts and enforcement agencies often face issues such as procedural delays, lack of trained personnel, and insufficient infrastructure, which

[19] Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), 15 April 1994, Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the WTO, Annex 1C.
[20] *World Trade Organization*, 'Overview: the TRIPS Agreement' https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/trips_e/intel2_e.htm accessed 30 May 2025.
[21] Prabuddha Ganguli, Intellectual Property Rights: Unleashing the Knowledge Economy (Tata McGraw-Hill 2001).

hinder swift and fair resolution of IPR disputes. Moreover, piracy, counterfeiting, and digital infringement continue to proliferate due to inadequate surveillance and weak penalties.[22]

Further, **continual legal evolution** is essential to address new and emerging challenges in the field of intellectual property. With the rise of technologies like artificial intelligence, blockchain, and biotechnology, the scope of IP rights is expanding. This demands adaptive legal frameworks capable of handling unconventional innovations and non-traditional trademarks or copyrights. For instance, questions about whether AI-generated works can be copyrighted, or how to treat data ownership, are already prompting legal debate worldwide.[23]

To address these challenges, **strengthening administrative mechanisms** is crucial. This includes investing in manpower, training IP examiners, reducing backlogs, and establishing more IP facilitation centers. Equally important is **leveraging technology**—such as online application portals, AI-assisted patent examination tools, and blockchain-based registration systems—to increase efficiency and transparency in IPR processes. These digital interventions can substantially reduce bureaucratic red tape and make the IP ecosystem more accessible and user-friendly.[24]

In conclusion, while India's IPR laws are substantially compliant with global standards, there is a pressing need for grassroots-level awareness, institutional efficiency, and dynamic legal reform. A holistic approach combining law, policy, education, and technology will ensure that IPRs not only protect innovation but also empower India's transition to a knowledge-based economy.

[22] Rajkumar Dubey, 'Challenges in IP Enforcement in India' (2019) 12 JIPR 220.
[23] Dinesh Abrol and Sunil Batra, 'Changing Paradigms of Intellectual Property Rights in the Age of AI' (2021) 46(2) *Economic and Political Weekly* 17.
[24] Ministry of Commerce and Industry (India), 'National IPR Policy 2016' https://dpiit.gov.in/sites/default/files/National_IPR_Policy_English.pdf accessed 30 May 2025.

References

- World Trade Organization, *Overview: the TRIPS Agreement* https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/trips_e/intel2_e.htm accessed 30 May 2025.
- Jayashree Watal, *Intellectual Property Rights in the WTO and Developing Countries* (Oxford University Press 2001).
- Prabuddha Ganguli, *Intellectual Property Rights: Unleashing the Knowledge Economy* (Tata McGraw-Hill 2001).
- World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), *SMEs and Intellectual Property in India* (WIPO 2021) https://www.wipo.int accessed 30 May 2025.
- Shamnad Basheer and Tushar Kumar, 'Judicial Delays and IP Disputes in India: A Case for Reform' (2020) 17(1) *Indian Journal of Law and Technology* 1.
- Raghul Sudheesh, 'Software Piracy and Enforcement in India: A Broken System?' (2019) 12(3) *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 155.
- Anirudh Burman, 'The Abolition of India's IPAB: Institutional Reform or Backward Step?' (2021) *Carnegie India* https://carnegieindia.org accessed 30 May 2025.
- Shalini Seetharam, 'AI and Copyright Law in India: The Need for Legislative Clarity' (2023) 15(2) *NUJS Law Review* 122.
- Dinesh Abrol and Sunil Batra, 'Rethinking Section 3(d): Innovation, Public Health and Indian Patent Law' (2021) 46(2) *Economic and Political Weekly* 17.
- Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), *Annual Report 2022–23* https://dpiit.gov.in accessed 30 May 2025.
- Varun Nayyar, 'Blockchain and IP Protection in India: Emerging Opportunities' (2022) 10(4) *Indian Journal of Law and Technology* 223.
- Ministry of Commerce and Industry (India), *National IPR Policy 2016* https://dpiit.gov.in/sites/default/files/National_IPR_Policy_English.pdf accessed 30 May 2025.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), *Novartis AG v Union of India & Others – Indian Supreme Court 2013* https://unctad.org/ippcaselaw/sites/default/files/ippcaselaw/2020-12/Novartis%20AG%20v.%20Union%20of%20India%20%26%20Others%20Indian%20Supreme%20Court%202013_0.pdf accessed 30 May 2025.