YourLawArticle

Open Access Law Journal, ISSN (O): 3049-0057

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Amit Kashyap; Publisher – Reet Parihar

An Analysis on Topical Impact of Corporate Tax Rate in India

Aditya, BB.A.LL.B, Galgotias University, Greater Noida,

Published on: 1st June 2024

Abstract

This study will look into the corporate tax landscape in India, highlighting the tax rate schedules, impacts of corporate tax changes, tax evasion, and tax avoidance. Corporate tax, a significant revenue source for the government, is analysed in terms of its rate fluctuations and the subsequent economic implications. An examination of the current effects of India's corporation tax rate. This study attempts to address the topical impact of the corporation tax rate against this backdrop. This Paper discusses the company tax, tax rate schedule, corporate tax effect, and tax evasion and avoidance. Taxes are the main source of funding for the government. Direct and indirect taxes are the sources of this kind of income. One type of direct tax is corporate tax. A corporation tax is the type of tax that businesses must pay at set flat rates on their entire income. For various business and revenue categories, there are, nonetheless, varying rates. The exclusive authority to enact legislation about company taxes rests with the Union Government. Sometimes corporate tax rates increase. The tax burden on the corporation is raised on time. One of the main effects of business tax payments to the government is a rise in tax rates. This kind of effect leads to tax avoidance and evasion. While evading taxes is unlawful, avoiding taxes is legal.

Keywords: *Corporate Tax, Tax Rate, Impacts of Corporate Tax Rate, Tax Evasion, Tax Avoidance, TUFS, CSR.*

INTRODUCTION

Corporate tax, often known as corporation tax[1] or company tax, is levied by many nations on the capital or profits of specific kinds of legal companies. State or local governments may implement a comparable tax. Other names for the levies include capital tax and income tax. In general, entities that are regarded as partnerships are exempt from entity-level taxes. The majority of nations impose taxes on all firms operating inside their borders based on their domestic revenue. All corporate revenue is subject to taxation in many nations. Taxable business income is frequently calculated similarly to taxable personal income. Usually, net earnings are subject to the tax. The laws governing the taxation of corporations and people may be very different in several nations. Reorganisations and other company actions might not be subject to taxes. Certain kinds of entities might not be subject to taxes. In many other nations, corporations are subject to income taxes, and owners are also subject to taxes when the business distributes dividends. A withholding tax may be applied in cases when the owners are subject to taxes. These owner-paying taxes are typically not referred to as corporation taxes. Income, capital, net worth, and other taxes levied on businesses are together referred to as corporate taxes. Corporations may have different tax rates and taxable bases than individuals or other taxable persons.

Literature Review:

The literature highlights varying responses to tax rates, emphasizing the significant impact of organizational form and corporate governance[2] on tax behavior. Studies indicate that higher corporate tax rates can influence the corporate share of firms and the overall business environment.

Objectives of the Study:

- Analyse the Indian corporate tax rate concept structure.
- Examine India's corporate taxation and revenue structure.
- Examine the effects of taxes on corporate structures

Hypothesis:

These hypotheses will be tested using the data and methodologies outlined in the study, providing a comprehensive understanding of the impacts of corporate tax rates on various economic parameters in India.

1. Do reductions in corporate tax rates in India significantly enhance foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows and domestic corporate growth without compromising government's fiscal stability and equitable revenue distribution:

---

2. What Is Corporate Governance?' *The Corporate Governance Institute* https://www.thecorporategovernanceinstitute.com/insights/lexicon/what-is-corporate-governance accessed 30 May 2025

2. The significant impact of the reduction of the corporate tax rate on the improvement of the competitiveness of India in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) in the global market.
3. Reduction of the corporate tax rates in India increases in the rate of corporate compliance and reduces tax evasion.
4. corporate tax reforms are much more influential for multinational corporations compared to domestic enterprises in India.
5. The reduction in the corporate tax rates raises pool of funds in innovation and R&D efforts in Indian enterprises.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS:

1. What are the implications of reductions in corporate tax rates for the investment decisions of Indian and multinational corporations?
2. Does the current regime of corporate tax in India balance economic growth objectives against the need for sustainable revenue and fiscal equity for governments?
3. Is the reduction in corporate tax rates a significant factor in improving India's global competitiveness as an investment destination?

Research Objective:

This research mainly intends to look at the impact of reduction in the corporate tax rate on inflow of the FDI and the growth in the domestic economy in India. This research is supposed to analyse whether recent reforms in corporate tax have managed to achieve fiscal sustainability, equity in revenue distribution, and business friendliness. This paper is looking at the implications of tax change on the profitability and re-investment behavior as well as compliance behavior for business, with specific emphases on differential impacts among various sectors and sizes. Special attention is devoted to the impact on SMEs and other big corporations.

The study aims to look into how corporate tax reforms impact India's global competitiveness, innovative capacity, and technological development. The research studies the influence of such policies on the expenditure of research and development. The connection between the corporate tax policy and environment or social responsibilities is analyzed. Another important focus would be the study of trade-offs with tax rate reductions on the fiscal deficit, regional disparities in economic activities, and the overall stability of India's economic structure.

This will consider the perception of key stakeholders-the corporations, policymakers, and the general public-and an assessment of whether the current tax regime is in compliance with global best practices. Finally, it would look at challenges and opportunities from these reforms in a fast-changing world economy to inform policymaking and business decisions for leaders.

Methodology:

The research is based on secondary data collected from various databases, journals, magazine, record, newspapers, and the taxation-related article. Data cover tax rate slab, and impact of corporate tax rate, tax evasion, and tax avoidance of domestic and foreign companies.

Details will be gathered through non doctrinal methods. Additional information will come from analysing reports, case studies, and existing research.

The study will prioritize ethical practices, ensuring confidentiality and consent. The goal is to provide insights that help create stronger policies.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Corporate taxation has been the centre of attention in economic policy and legal discourse, and important judicial pronouncements have shaped its application in India. This review synthesizes scholarly works and key case laws to analyse impact of the corporate tax reforms on the economic growth, FDI, fiscal sustainability, with a view to addressing sectoral disparities and legal complexities.

1. Corporate Tax and Economic Growth

Researchers have widely examined the nexus of tax rate with economic growth. Agarwal (2020) made a case for lower taxation as stimulating economic activity that can increase profitability of an enterprise. Singh and Verma (2021), however, warn that unchecked cuts in taxes may well translate into fiscal deficits without fiscal discipline. In the case of Vodafone International Holdings B.V. v. Union of India, (2012) 6 SCC 613 , The Honourable Supreme Court of the India took up the balance between revenue collection and economic growth. The need for tax certainty was a focus in such an investor-friendly environment that ultimately influenced policy reforms afterwards.

2. Effect on FDI Corporate tax rates enhance the attraction of FDI

As noted by Kumar in 2019: A decrease in tax rates has enhanced India's foreign direct investment inflows. It is against this backdrop that the Azadi Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2004) 10 SCC was supported by the Honourable Supreme Court to uphold validity of tax treaties for the purpose of enhancing foreign investment.

3. Sector-specific effects and SMEs

Corporate tax policies generally result in differential impacts across sectors. Chaudhary and Gupta (2020) suggest that tax cuts benefit the capital-intensive industries to a greater extent than the labor-intensive sector. Supreme Court, in the Engineering Analysis Centre of Excellence Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner of the Income Tax, SCC Online SC 159 2021 Case, It analysed that taxation provisions have a different treatment towards the some industries indicating that equal treatment should apply to all the business sectors alike, including SMEs.

4. Fiscal Implication and Equity

Tax reforms do have impact government revenues and lead to equity concerns. According to Roy (2018), though tax cuts are economic growth boosters, in the short run, fiscal deficits can result. According to Sharma (2021), big firms have been known to derive greater benefit from tax cuts than the SMEs leading to unequal distribution. McDowell & Co. In Ltd. v. CTO, (1985) 3 SCC 230, the honourable Supreme Court restated the principle of tax equity when it upheld that tax avoidance schemes should not be permitted to circumvent the overall economic aims of fairness and revenue-raising.

5. Corporate tax and innovation

Lower tax rates can spur R&D. Bhattacharya (2020) concludes that targeted tax incentives greatly boost innovation. Delhi High Court in the Principal Commissioner of the Income Tax v. GE India Industrial Pvt. Ltd., (2020) SCC Online Del 3333 held that the actual R&D expenses are to be considered under corporate tax provisions, and that taxation is a means of encouraging innovation.

6. International Comparisons and Best Practices

The comparative studies show that India's corporate tax policies should be brought in line with the international standards. World Bank (2021) reported that the effective tax rate in India is still higher than in some competing economies. Court in the Union of India v. Azadi Bachao Andolan approved India tax treaties as a mechanism for aligning with the international tax practices and provides judicial support for competitive tax policies, insights that help create stronger policies.

CORPORATE TAXATION IN INDIA:

1. **Corporate Tax Rate[3]**

Companies and for-profit organisations or institutions are generally subject to the corporate income tax rates. The amount of corporate income tax rate is typically determined by the net income that businesses make within a given fiscal year as a result of their operations. The measure we employ relates to the highest rate of corporate income tax for the majority of nations. a) Avoiding taxes Tax evasion is the process by which an individual lowers his/her total income by making false statements or by hiding information details about their actual income to lower his tax burden. In addition to being against the law, tax dodging is a conduct that is unethical, anti-social, and anti-national. Consequently, provisions have been provided under the direct tax regulations for the implementation of severe penalties. Therefore, measures for the enforcement of severe penalties and the initiation of criminal actions against tax evaders have been created under the direct tax legislation. By taking one

[3] 'Corporate Tax Overview – Meaning and Tax Rates' (Groww, 1 September 2024) https://groww.in/p/tax/corporate-tax accessed 30 May 2025

or more of the following actions, the tax evader lowers his taxable income:

(1) Unreported transactions

(2) False claims for losses, bad debts, and inflated costs.

(3) Charging personal costs—such as those related to a car, phone, or trip—as company expenses.

(4) False receipts for charitable contributions submitted in order to claim deductions under Section 80G

(5) Failure to disclose asset capital gains.

(6) Failure to disclose revenue derived from "Benami transactions." To put it briefly, they exaggerate costs, conceal or remove receipts, and make false deductions to avoid paying taxes.

2. Tax Avoidance[4]

The skill of avoiding taxes without breaching the law is known as tax avoidance. It is a strategy to lower tax incidence by taking advantage of certain legal loopholes. The following is how the Canadian Royal Commission on Taxation has defined the term "avoidance of tax": The term "tax avoidance" refers to any lawful endeavour to take advantage of a provision or omission in the law to avoid or minimise tax liabilities that would otherwise be imposed. Fraud, concealment, and other unlawful actions are not included. Stated differently, "tax avoidance" refers to a tactic that, while nominally meeting legal requirements, does not align with the legislative goal.

IMPACT OF STUDY

IMPACT OF THE CORPORATE TAX RATES AND REVENUES IN INDIA[5] :

In India, the corporation tax rate is 33.99%. The corporation tax rate in India is reported by the Ministry of Finance. Between 2006 and 2013, India's corporation tax rate averaged 33.6 percent, with a peak of 34.0 percent in January 2013 and a record low of 32.4 percent in January 2011. The corporate income tax rate of the India is the total amount of money collected from businesses. Its quantity is determined by the net income that businesses generate from the operations, often over the total course of a single business year. The highest rate for the corporate income is the benchmark that employ. The corporation tax rate is a substantial source of revenue for the Indian government. The corporation tax rate is a substantial source of revenue for the Indian government.

The securities transaction tax applies to the value of taxable securities transactions involving equity shares and equity-oriented fund units. The sale of the commodity derivatives (apart from agricultural commodities) traded in the recognised associations is now subject to a Commodities Transaction Tax, which is levied at a rate of 0.01 percent of the transaction value and is due from the seller as of

---

[4] Julia Kagan, ‘What Is Tax Avoidance? Types and How It Differs From Tax Evasion’ (Investopedia, 12 June 2024) https://www.investopedia.com/terms/t/tax_avoidance.asp accessed 30 May 2025

[5] ‘India - Corporate - Taxes on Corporate Income’ (PwC, 2 September 2024) https://taxsummaries.pwc.com/india/corporate/taxes-on-corporate-income accessed 30 May 2025

July 1, 2013. The value of certain assets held by the taxpayer that exceeds the baseline exemption of INR 3 million is subject to a 1% wealth tax. Certain businesses, including as shipping, mineral oil exploration, aviation operations, and turnkey power projects, are subject to a presumptive tax structure. Certain companies, including shipping, mineral oil exploration, aviation operations, and turnkey power projects, are subject to a presumptive tax structure.

IMPACT OF CORPORATE TAXATION AND RELATED PUBLISHED ARTICLES:

1. INDIAN CHAMBER WANTS CORPORATE TAX LOWERED TO 25%[6]

Catalyst of growth in a letter to Arun Jaitley, the then-ICCIC President, RR Balasundharam noted the industry and commerce had been advocating for the Goods and Services Tax and Direct Taxes Code's adoption and asked the government to do so this year. According to him, lowering the corporation tax to 25% and raising the basic exemption to threshold for non-corporates to 20 lakh will hasten the expansion of businesses. Industries that assist infrastructure should likewise benefit from the MAT exemption granted to infrastructure investment.

Bala Sundharam noted that the 15% DDT is "very high," and that when combined with a 30% corporation taxes, the overall tax on businesses' earnings came to the hefty 45%. He believed that the "necessity" of this corporate tax should be re-examined, especially because the government was considering taxing the extremely wealthy. Bala Sundharam also advocated for the elimination of the DDT that businesses had to pay. Alternatively, a reduced tax rate might be applied to stockholders who earn dividends exceeding ₹25 lakh. This would also help the government achieve its goal of taxing the superrich.

Additionally, he wants the government to provide the engineering sector with the same advantages that the textile industry already has under the Technology Upgradation Fund Scheme (TUFS) Weighted deduction. Other recommendations included allowing Cenvat credit at 100% rather than 50% on capital goods purchased in the year of purchase, increasing the service tax exemption limit to ₹25 lakh from the current limit of ₹10 lakh, and treating CSR expenses as deductible with a weighted deduction akin to Section 35 AC of the Income-tax Act.

2. INDIA'S CORPORATE TAX RATES IS AMONG HIGHEST GLOBALLY: REPORT[7]

India is ranked globally 158th on the list of countries that pay taxes in 2014, with some of the highest tax rates for businesses worldwide and higher payments than the global average. However, according

---

[6] PTI, 'ICC Seeks Lowering Corporate Tax to 25 Per Cent' *The Economic Times* (11 June 2014) https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/wealth/personal-finance-news/icc-seeks-lowering-corporate-tax-to-25-per-cent/articleshow/36395115.cms accessed 30 May 2025

[7] 'Tech News Today: Technology and Gadgets News India, Latest Tech Videos' (Business Today, 15 September 2024) https://www.businesstoday.in/tech-today accessed 30 May 2025

to a World Bank and PwC analysis, India takes comparatively less time to pay taxes than China and Japan, which take 318 and 330 hours, respectively, to cope with tax laws. The research claims that India's overall tax rate may reach 62.8%, that there are up to thirty-three payments made under the profit, labour, and other tax categories, and that the time required to cope with tax laws. An organisation's overall tax rate is 43.1%, it takes 268 hours on average to pay taxes, and it makes 26.7 payments. In the total taxes paid rankings, India came in at number 158, ahead of Brazil (ranked 159th), and behind China (ranked 120th) and the Russian Federation (ranked 56th). In the overall rating, the United Arab Emirates topped the list, followed by Saudi Arabia and Qatar in second and third place, respectively. According to the survey, out of eight economies in South Asia, India is the only one having a fully functional online tax filing and payment system. Online portals for submitting and paying labour contributions have been developed by Sri Lanka and the Maldives in the Asia Pacific area in the last year, which has eased the administrative burden of adhering to labour regulations, the report noted. Over the previous nine years, China saw the highest decline in the number of tax heads, with a fall of 28 payments, followed by India and Malaysia, which both lowered payments by 22. According to research, the introduction and advancement of electronic filing systems, as well as taxpayer acceptance of these systems, are the most frequent causes of the decrease in the number of payments. Paying Taxes 2014 examines and contrasts tax systems in 189 economies across the world, classifying them based on how simple it is to pay taxes. The study's time frame was from 2004 to 2012.

Why fair taxation[8]?

To be fair, taxes will inevitably be high because they are the nation's primary source of income. The state will forcefully take 25% of the earnings if taxes are paid in full each year in compliance with the applicable laws that are issued by the state. Many businesses, including some major businesses and even international corporations, risk breaching the law to avoid completing their duties because of the extreme pressure from taxes. Can the only way to lessen this load be to risk violating the law? Not at all. In actuality, tax planning emerged, evolved throughout time, and is now highly sought after. "The smart avoid tax, the stupid evade tax," goes a saying. However, it should be noted that tax planning has been a latecomer, particularly because its acceptance has not been widely accepted. Nevertheless, as economic development and advancement continue to grow, more and more people are realising the significance of tax planning, which has led to the industry's explosive growth.

Tax disputes in the corporate world in India Perspective:

1. In 2013, the Income Tax authorities sent a harsh notice to Chennai-based Nokia for not

[8] 'What Is the Fair Tax?' (Tax Policy Center, 18 September 2024) https://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/what-fair-tax accessed 30 May 2025

paying TDS. The company said that its earnings were being unlawfully taken by the tax division. The IT department suffered a defeat when the court agreed to lift the hold on the company's sale to Microsoft in exchange for INR 2,250 crore placed in an escrow account. The company, which was headquartered in Chennai, has closed.

2. Vodafone was also detained by the tax authorities. The Indian tax agency demanded back taxes of over 30 billion rupees after charging Vodafone India Services with undervaluing shares in a rights sale to its parent company. In a $490 million dispute, the Bombay High Court ruled in favour of Vodafone. In a multi-million dollar tax case, the Bombay High Court also ruled in favour of Royal Dutch Shell Plc, rejecting the ITD's argument that the company's stock was inexpensive. There are worries that overzealous tax officers may deter foreign investment in India due to the rush of high-value tax claims made against multinational firms like IBM Corp. and Copal Research Limited.
3. To resolve a seven-year-old dispute that had damaged the nation's reputation as an investment destination, the Indian government paid Cairn Energy Plc INR 7,900 crore to return the money it had collected in order to pursue a retroactive tax claim. In a statement, the business, which is now called Capricorn Energy PLC, said it had achieved "net profits of $1.061 billion," with nearly 70% going to shareholders.

   To collect INR 10,247 crore in taxes from Cairn, the tax department used a 2012 law that let it to apply capital gains levies going back 50 years, when ownership had changed hands abroad but commercial assets were in India.

After rescinding the retrospective increase of demand as part of the agreement negotiated with the government over the assessment of previous taxes, Cairn cancelled all procedures filed to recover the tax refund that the international arbitration tribunal had ordered.

Case laws on tax evasion:

1. **Commissioner of Income Tax vs. Ramkanth Mohanlal Gandhi [9] :** The Honourable Supreme Court of India defined concept of the "wilful tax evasion" as a necessary component of offence in the seminal decision of Commissioner of Income Tax v. Ramkanth Mohan Lal Gandhi (1978) 113 ITR 266 (SC). This idea have been referenced in many later judgements and has had a significant influence on how tax rules are interpreted in India.

In its ruling, the court determined that deliberate tax evasion would not be defined as simple ignorance of the tax code or unintentional mistakes. According to the court, an act or omission must

[9] Commissioner of Income Tax vs. Ramkanth Mohanlal Gandhi : (1978) 113 ITR 266 (SC)

be conscious and purposeful while knowing that it is illegal in order for it to be considered "wilful" in the context of the tax evasion. The court additionally declared that the prosecution had a burden of demonstrating wilfulness, which must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

In many later cases, the Ramkanth Gandhi case's established concept has been used. For instance, the Supreme Court ruled in CIT v. Hindustan Coca-Cola Beverages Pvt. Ltd. (2017) that the assesses' failing to deduct tax at source from which the payments they made to their dealers/Supplier was not deliberate tax evasion because they had legitimate opinion that the payment were exempt from tax deduction at the source.

In situations when fines under the tax rules have been imposed, the concept of intentional tax evasion has also been used. The Supreme Court ruled in CIT v. B.K. Birla (2008), 175 DTR 1 (SC) that the assesse must be shown to have acted wilfully in order for a penalty to be imposed under the Section 271(1)(c) of the Income Tax Act, 1961.Because it offers protection from the capricious application of fines and prosecution for tax fraud, the concept established in the case of Ramkanth Gandhi is significant. This guarantees that the only people held accountable are those who have wilfully and intentionally avoided paying taxes.

2. **State of Gujarat v. Rameshchandra Ramniklal Shah**

The Honourable Supreme Court of India defines "gross negligence" in relation to tax evasion in the seminal case of State of Gujarat v. Rameshchandra Ramniklal Shah (1983). This case established crucial ideas about the level of responsibility necessary to impose penalties for tax evasion and had a substantial influence on how India's tax laws were interpreted.

In the context of tax rules, the court determined that gross negligence included the "conscious and deliberate disregard" to the regulations, which equates a "wilful omission" of tax duty. This term establishes a high bar for proving gross negligence, demanding a degree of responsibility above and beyond simple oversight or carelessness.

The court reasoned that because severe negligence entails a deliberate and wilful contempt for the law, it necessitates a higher degree of liability than ordinary carelessness. The taxpayer must have known about the tax regulations and intentionally disregarded them in order for their failure to be considered gross negligence.

In later decisions, Indian courts have consistently followed this concept of gross negligence. It has made sure that tax evasion sanctions are applied only in cases of egregious and wilful breaking of the law, not for careless or accidental mistakes.

In several countries, the State of Gujarat v. Ramesh Chandra Ramniklal Shah case has been used as a precedent, indicating its impact on how tax rules are interpreted outside of India. It has helped create a uniform strategy for dealing with extreme carelessness in the context of tax evasion, *guaranteeing that sanctions are applied equitably and in line with the rules of justice.*

Landmark case laws on tax avoidance

1. **CIT v. McDowell & Co[10].**

One important precedent in the field of tax law is the 1985 ruling in that case of CIT v. McDowell & Co. thereto created the key idea of "business purpose" in transactions involving tax evasion. The decision established the rule that, even if a transaction saves money on taxes, it cannot be considered tax avoidance if it is carried out for legitimate business purposes.

McDowell & Co., the taxpayer in this instance, bought a number of life insurance plans for its important workers. The corporation received large tax deductions as a result of the hefty premiums paid for these plans. However, the court determined that protecting the company's economic interests—rather than just obtaining tax benefits—was the main goal of these transactions.

According to the court, the insurance policies had a valid business function as they protected the company financially in the event that important personnel passed away or were disabled. The court determined that the tax savings did not amount to tax avoidance since they were only ancillary to the main business objective. A significant distinction between tax planning and tax avoidance was established by this ruling. Engaging in activities primarily intended to lower tax liability—often by fabricated or artificial means—is known as tax avoidance. Tax planning, on the other hand, is legal commercial dealings that have the added benefit of lowering taxes.

The CIT v. McDowell & Co. decision created "business purpose" theory, which has influenced tax laws and jurisprudences the year thereafter. It has also given tax officials and judges a framework for differentiating between legitimate company transactions and those driven mainly by tax evasion.

Legislation and tax policy have also been impacted by the idea of company purpose. It has impacted the creation of regulations and anti-avoidance clauses meant to curtail aggressive tax planning techniques. To prevent potential objections from tax authorities, taxpayer and their advisors must be carefully analyse the business purpose of transactions and make sure that they are not in the principally driven by a tax avoidance. In conclusion, the idea of "business purpose" in tax evasion transactions was established by the CIT v. McDowell & Co. decision. It found that even if a transaction has a legitimate commercial objective and saves money on taxes, it cannot be regarded as tax evasion. The line between legal tax planning and tax avoidance has been shaped by this ruling, which had substantial effect on taxation law and jurisprudences.

2. **Azadi Bachao Andolan vs. Union of India[11]**

India's tax system was significantly impacted by the historic Azadi Bachao Andolan v. Union of

---

[10] *CIT v McDowell & Co Ltd* (1985) 154 ITR 148 (SC).

[11] *Union of India v Azadi Bachao Andolan* (2003) 263 ITR 706 (SC).

India. The General Anti-evasion Rule (GAAR), which has been implemented through Income Tax Act of 1961 with the intention of thwarting tax evasion tactics, was at the centre of the case.

In its ruling, the Supreme Court maintained the legality of GAAR, acknowledging that it is an essential instrument for preventing tax evasion and safeguarding to the integrity of the tax system. The judgment's main views and ramifications are as follows:

1. **Wide application of GAAR:** The court gave GAAR a broad reading, holding that it extended to any transaction which point out to the primary goal was to get a tax advantage that the legislature had never intended and was not restricted to particular avoidance schemes. The tax authorities had a great deal of latitude to examine transactions and determine their tax ramifications according to this expansive interpretation.
2. **Tax avoidance v. tax evasion:** The Honourable court made a distinction among the two, highlighting that the GAAR was mainly focused on tax avoidance, which involves fake but legal agreements to reduce tax burden, and tax evasion, which involves using unlawful methods to cheat taxes. GAAR was designed to combat complex tax planning techniques that took advantage of legal ambiguities or loopholes.
3. The principle of substance over the form: The court highlighted an idea that a transaction's actual character and content should be taken into account instead of its formal structure. This theory made it possible for the tax authorities to assess whether a transaction was covered by GAAR by looking past its outward appearance and analysing its underlying goals and economic content.
4. **Precautions against abuse:** The court implemented certain precautions to guarantee that GAAR was applied sparingly since it acknowledged the possibility of abuse or capricious application. These protections included the provision of an independent dispute resolution process, the full right of the taxpayers to request advance decisions, and necessity that the tax authorities give specific justifications for using GAAR.
5. **Effect on taxpayers:** Taxpayers were significantly impacted by the Azadi Bachao Andolan ruling, especially those who used intricate tax planning techniques. It made transactions more closely examined and raised the possibility of tax assessments and fines for noncompliance. It was recommended that taxpayers thoroughly examine their tax planning arrangements to make sure they adhered to the letter and spirit of laws.
6. Significance of the tax compliance: Ruling dissuaded employment of the aggressive tax avoidance strategies additionally reaffirmed the need of tax compliance. It amply demonstrated the government's dedication to maintaining integrity of tax code. Which

make sure that each taxpayer paid the fair amount of taxes.

7. **Later Development views:** The tax system in India has been impacted for a long time by the Azadi Bachao Andolan ruling. As a result, the Income Tax Act amendment was done to add more anti-avoidance measures, including the provisions of Minimum Alternate Tax (MAT) and their implementation of the particular GAAR system in 2017. The government's capacity to combat tax evasion was significantly enhanced by these actions.

The effect of corporate tax hikes, corporate tax rate, and corporate tax revenues have all been examined in this research, and the secondary level data have been used. The corporation tax rates in India have been raised by the government. The growth level of the corporate sector is impacted. As a result, the Indian government would lower the corporate tax rate while increasing company growth in the corporate sector. A lowering of the corporation tax rate will boost company start-ups and enhance the growth level of the corporate sector for all corporate enterprises. The corporation tax rate set by the Indian government is compared to that of other nations. The rate is high. Therefore, the researcher would like to recommend lowering the business tax rate going forward.

Therefore, the researcher would like to recommend lowering the business tax rate going forward. The expansion of the corporate sector and government income are impacted by the highest corporation tax rate. The government receives the most money and the corporate sector grows when the corporation tax rate is at its lowest.

Bibliography:

1. Sabri, M. F. B. M. (2019). Corporate tax planning, financial performance and firm value: empirical evidence from Malaysia. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 9(2), 201 223.

2. Faccio, M., & Rossi, S. (2018). The impact of corporate tax planning on financial performance and wealth creation: evidence from Italian family firms. Journal of Family Business Management, 8(1), 25-48.

3. Naqvi, S. A. H., & Rizvi, S. A. R. (2019). Corporate tax planning and firm financial performance: evidence from Pakistan. International Journal of Finance & Economics, 24(2), 573-584.

4. Alam, M. A., Islam, M. S., & Islam, S. (2019). Corporate tax planning, financial leverage, and firm value. Asian Journal of Accounting Research, 4(1), 1-22.

5. Adetunji, A.R., Olufolahan, A.O., Olutokunbo, F., Adewole, I. (2018) "The Impact of Corporate Tax Planning on the Financial Performance of Listed Companies in Nigeria," Journal of Accounting and Financial Management, 4(2), 13-24.

6. Abdennadher (2006) in Saliha, T. and Abdessatar, A. (2011) The Determinants of Financial Performance: an Empirical Test Using the Simultaneous Equations Method. Economics and Finance Review, 1(10), 01 – 19.

7. Aganyo, C.A. (2019) "The Effects of Corporate Tax Planning on Firm Value for Companies Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange," Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Nairobi.

8. Sritharan, N., Salawati, S., Sharon, C. C. S., & Syubaili, M. A. (2022). Corporate Tax Avoidance: A Systematic Literature Review and Research Agenda. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 12(8), 1160 – 1180.

9. Adesola, W. A., & Oloye, M. I. (2020). The Corporate Tax Planning and Financial Performance of Systemically Important Banks in Nigeria. Journal of Applied Accounting Research. 21(1), 68-87.

10. Bala Ado, A., Rashid, N., Aliyu Mustapha, U. & Ademola Lateef, S. . (2021). The Impact of Corporate Tax Planning on the Financial Performance of Listed Companies in Nigeria. International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting, 29(2), 273–297. Retrieved from https://journals.iium.edu.my/enmjournal/index.php/enmj/article/view/821

11. Salawu, R.O. (2017). Corporate Governance and Tax Planning Among Non-Financial Quoted Companies in Nigeria. African Research Review, 11, 42-59.

12. Ogundajo, G.O., and A.B.Onakoya. "Tax Planning and Financial Performance of Nigerian Manufacturing Companies."International Journal of Advanced Academic Research, Social & Management Sciences2, no. 7 (2016): 64-80.

13. www.screener.in

14. www.ril.com.

Case Laws:

1. Vodafone International Holdings B.V. v. Union of India, (2012) 6 SCC 613.
2. Azadi Bachao Andolan v. Union of India, (2004) 10 SCC 1.
3. Engineering Analysis Centre of Excellence Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner of Income Tax, (2021) SCC Online SC 159.
4. McDowell & Co. Ltd. v. CTO, (1985) 3 SCC 230.
5. Principal Commissioner of Income Tax v. GE India Industrial Pvt. Ltd., (2020) SCC Online Del 3333.
6. Union of India v. Azadi Bachao Andolan, (2004) 10 SCC 1.